

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

gh

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO. ' /
09/496.18	3 02/02/	00 HIKITA		J	P3213-9038
<del></del>				EXAMINER	
Arent Fox Kinter Plotkin & Kahn PLLC David T. Nikaido 1050 Connecticut Avenue N. W.			AR	CRUZ , I	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 600			DATE !	2815 MAILED:	06/01/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

_	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
· Office Action Summary	09/496,183	HIKITA ET AL.					
,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lourdes C. Cruz	2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> </ul>							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>3-19-01</u> .							
<u> </u>	 s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
4)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-9 is/are withdrawn for the specific state of the specific ation is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-7 and 10-15 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claims 8-9 are subject to restriction and/or election election is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 16 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 17 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 17 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 18 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 19 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 19 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 19 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 19 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 19 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 19 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 19 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 19 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 19 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 19 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 19 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 19 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 19 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 19 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 19 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 19 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 19 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 19 the oath of	from consideration. ction requirement. r. b by the Examiner. lis: a) □ approved b) □ disapp	roved.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  a) All b) Some * c) None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been:  1. received.  2. received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number)  3. received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).							
Attachment(s)							
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ul>	19) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/496,183

Art Unit: 2815

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to an Amendment filed March 19, 2001.

#### Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 8 and 9 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The claim recites limitations of a method for forming a semiconductor device, which constitutes a different invention than that which was originally presented by the applicant. The originally presented invention and the invention of claims 8 and 9 are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the semiconductor device of claim 8 could be made by first forming a plurality of chip connections on a first chip followed by a second step of forming on a plurality of connection portions that overcome the number of chip connection portions formed on the first chip, a third step of forming additional chip connection portions on the first chip so that the second chip has as many or less connection potions than the first; then a step of superposing and bonding.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for

Application/Control Number: 09/496,183

Art Unit: 2815

prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 8 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) The invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-7 and 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wenzel et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6150724).

Regarding claims 1, 3,4 and 6, Wenzel discloses (See Figs. 5,7, and 18) a semiconductor device comprising a first semiconductor chip 102 and a second semiconductor chip 104 superposed on and bonded to a surface of the first semiconductor chip, wherein in a region on the surface of the first semiconductor chip where the second semiconductor chip is bonded to the first semiconductor chip, chip connection portions (corresponding to 108) are arranged in standardized positions so as to fit a plurality of predetermined types of semiconductor chips; and wherein on the second semiconductor chip, chip connection portions are arranged in standardized positions so as to fit the chip connection portions arranged on the first semiconductor chip.

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claims 2,5 and 7 Wenzel discloses semiconductor chips with identical functions but of different grades in as much as any specific function is claimed.

Wenzel also teaches a chip connection region wherein chip connection portions (corresponding to 108) are formed in standardized portions so as to fit any of the plurality of predetermined types of semiconductor chips, and the chip connecting portions are arranged:

- Along an edge (left corner) of the chip connection region (Claims 10 and 13)
- Along an edge and in an inner portion of the chip connection region
   (Claims 11 and 14)
- Along an edge of the chip connection region, which is rectangular in shape, and also along opposite sides of the chip connection region (Claims 12 and 15)

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 19, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that:

Wenzel fails to disclose or suggest chip connection portions arranged in standardized positions so as to fit any of a plurality of types of semiconductor chips.

These arguments are not persuasive since:

Application/Control Number: 09/496,183

Art Unit: 2815

 Wenzel (Figure 18) in Col. 13 clearly discloses chip connection potions arranged in standardized positions so as to fit a plurality of predetermined types of semiconductor chips.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lourdes C. Cruz whose telephone number is 707-306-5691. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on 703-308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Lourdes C. Cruz Examiner Art Unit 2815

Lourdes Cruz May 30, 2001

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800